CAWOOD HIGH SCHOOL LITERACY PLAN

Developed September 24, 2002 Harlan, Kentucky

Literacy Team members present:

District consultant present: Anita Tolliver

KDE consultants present: Beckie Wade, Region 6 Language Arts consultant, and René

Matthews, High School Reading consultant in Frankfort

LITERACY GOALS*:

- (1) to have no Novice portfolios
- (2) to increase the number of students scoring at levels 3 and 4 on open response items in the Informational reading subdomain (in 2002, 74% scored below level 3)
- (3) to improve students' performance on the multiple-choice questions in the Literary reading subdomain (in 2002, there were more incorrect answers on Literary reading than any other subdomain. This is a problem considering the time spent on Literary reading in English classes.)

Comprehensive Schoolwide Literacy Program Element— PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

| Step 1 | Step 1 |
|--|--|
| Implementation | Evaluation |
| Continue mentoring program—each faculty | a) Since every faculty member should be |
| member mentoring three seniors through the | involved, the principal needs to monitor |
| portfolio process. This is professional | the implementation. Until a formal |
| development for all faculty members because | structure is in place (the Literacy Team |
| each student will essentially become a "case | could help the principal create the |
| study" as teachers help them produce | formal structure), the principal could |
| Apprentice or above portfolios. | randomly ask students about their work |
| | with their Mentors. In brief, informal |
| | conversations in the hallway or |
| | before/after school, the principal could |
| | ask students how their portfolio was |
| | coming, how their mentor has helped |
| | them in the process, how much time |
| | their mentor has spent with them, and |
| | what they needed more help on from |
| | their mentor. |
| | b) students' 2003 portfolio scores |
| | c) Teachers need an opportunity to write |
| | about/discuss what they learned from |
| | mentoring and how that work will |

^{*}Literacy Team members need to study the recent KCCT reading and writing data to determine specific number targets. Software from the Region 6 Service Center is available to set a goal that will get students to proficiency by 2014. The number targets should not be random, and they should be challenging.

| | change their instruction. (For example, in a faculty meeting, teachers could be given a few minutes to jot down thoughts in reaction to a prompt: What did you learn from mentoring students? How will what you learned change your instruction? After they have time to write, they could share ideas with the people at their tables.) There are many ways to do this. The Literacy Team needs to decide how to give teachers this opportunity and to determine what kind of feedback they need to make the program a success next year. |
|--|--|
| Step 2 | Step 2 |
| Implementation | Evaluation |
| At the principal's recommendation, the district | How will this be evaluated? The district |
| math consultant will work with math teachers | consultant will probably lead teachers in |
| on developing open response items and | scoring student work against their prompts |
| portfolio pieces. | which is one way to evaluate open response |
| | prompts. |
| Step 3 | Step 3 |
| Implementation | Evaluation |
| Literacy Team members use the fall and winter test scrimmages as a professional development opportunity to learn more about Informational Reading open response prompts. | In scoring the student responses to the Informational reading open response items on the scrimmage test, members look for trends in student answers. They will generate a list of ways instruction could be improved to generate higher student performance on the next scrimmage. |
| | Note: Literacy Team members said they were not yet ready to provide professional development for the faculty on how to develop open response items and score them against a rubric. However, a logical next step would be for Team members to present their findings (from the fall scrimmage) to all 10 th grade teachers so that their students will improve their performance on the winter scrimmage. |

Comprehensive Schoolwide Literacy Program Element— ALIGNED CURRICULUM

| Step 1 | Step 1 |
|--|--|
| Implementation | Evaluation |
| English teachers will align their curriculum | a) The principal holds teachers |
| with the KCCT Reading blueprint (30% | accountable through their lesson plans |
| literary, 30% informational, 20% persuasive, | and his observations. |

| and 20% practical/workplace reading). | b) students' scores on the 2003 KCCT |
|--|---|
| Teachers will need support from the librarians | Reading subdomains |
| in finding and adding informational, | |
| persuasive, and practical/workplace texts to use | |
| in their classes. | |
| Step 2 | Step 2 |
| Implementation | Evaluation |
| English teachers will consistently ask students | English teachers will share results and get |
| to apply what they have learned to new texts | feedback in department meetings or teachers |
| that they have never seen before. | could pair up to work on this as partners. |
| Step 3 | Step 3 |
| Implementation | Evaluation |
| English teachers will use the Accelerated | a) A current level needs to be determined: |
| Reading multiple-choice questions as practice | on average, what percentage of AR |
| for the KCCT Literary Reading multiple- | multiple-choice questions are students |
| choice questions. | getting correct? |
| | b) Keeping in mind that AR multiple- |
| | choice questions are generally on a |
| | lower level than KCCT Reading |
| | questions, determine what percentage |
| | students should be scoring correct |
| | |

NEXT STEPS

| April 2003 | Turn this plan into a CSIP (Comprehensive |
|---|---|
| | School Improvement Plan) component |
| All the Professional Development should | Changes should be based on |
| impact Instruction. | a) teachers' experiences in the mentoring |
| | program |
| | b) math teachers work with the district |
| | consultant |
| | c) 10 th grade teachers between |
| | scrimmages |
| Aligned Curriculum | For Accelerated Reader to be effective, English |
| | teachers need to align the way they implement |
| | the program. At the end of the year, English |
| | teachers could share the ways they have used |
| | the program to determine the best way to |
| | implement it schoolwide. |
| Targeted Intervention | The intervention needs to be different than the |
| | instruction. How will English class be |
| | different for those 9 th graders who are |
| | repeating it? |